Pre-Submittal Conference Design-Build-Finance for Student Housing Project



Agenda

• Welcome and Purpose

- Scope of Work
- Submittal Forms

Tips for Preparing a Competitive Submittal





Welcome and Purpose

Welcome & Purpose

• You have a Fair Chance to Win.

- The goal of this process is to put all Proposers on equal ground.
- Each response will be reviewed on its merits (not on marketing ability)

These videos are to help you develop a Great Response

• Great Response \rightarrow Better Evaluation Score \rightarrow Better Chance to Win

• <u>Evaluation Score is based on...</u> <u>Experience</u> + <u>10pg Project Plan</u> + <u>Financials</u> + <u>Interview</u>





This presentation is being provided for educational purposes only

Please refer to the RFQP for specific instructions

If there are any inconsistencies, the RFQP and Addenda shall take precedence over this presentation

Scope of Work

Scope of Work



SCOPE OF WORK

Kansas City Kansas Community College Student Housing Project

The Scope of Work (SOW) is organized into seven (7) sections:

- 1. Scope Summary
- 2. Current Conditions
- 3. Future State Requirements
- 4. Schedule Requirements
- 5. Financial Requirements
- 6. Exclusions and Assumptions
- 7. Attachments





Scope of Work – Core Objective

Provide the best student housing facility for our students:

 High quality facility to meet the long-term needs of our students.

 Lowest possible cost to ensure affordability for our students.





Scope of Work

• This is the best expression of what the College believes is needed.

Interested in hearing Proposer expertise in the Submittal Forms.
There are forms to recommend alternates to this SOW.

 Important for the procurement to be fair, open, transparent, and "apples-to-apples"





Scope of Work – Budget Constraint Section 5.2 Budget Model

- Parameters of our Budget Model are provided.
 - Based on parameters, the maximum available funds for Annual Lease Obligation is \$1.150M

• This is truly our <u>absolute maximum</u> budget.

• Goal is to leverage the best value for our students (below budget). Affordable individual cost for students is a very real constraint.





Scope of Work – Section 5.3 Other Financial Considerations

- KCKCC will guarantee annual minimum lease payments to the selected Proposer.
- KCKCC will be responsible for revenue and collection risk.
 - KCKCC will be responsible for all billing and collection of student housing rents/fees.
- KCKCC is responsible for basic operations costs (custodial, utilities, minor maintenance), all programming, FF&E replacement.





Scope of Work – Schedule Requirements

 The primary schedule constraint is the desire for the new facility to be ready for students by <u>August 2022</u>.





Submittal Forms

Submittal Forms

Submittal Forms

MS Word Document is Posted

Please use these forms.

• Additional materials will <u>not</u> be considered

Submittal Form A	 Proposer Information
Submittal Form B	 Project Organization Chart
Submittal Form C-1	- Experience (Partner Companies)
Submittal Form C-2	- Experience (Critical Project Team Members)
Submittal Form D-1	 Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)
Submittal Form D-2	 Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)
Submittal Form E	- Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems
Submittal Form F	- Scope Alternatives
Submittal Form G	- Assumptions
Submittal Form H-1	 Financial Proposal (Option 1 = 30 Year Term)
Submittal Form H-2	- Financial Proposal (Option 2 = Term Recommended by Proposer)
Submittal Form H-3	- Financial Proposal (Option 3 = Proposer Created Solution)





Critical!

• All Financial Proposals (<u>Submittal Form H-1</u> and <u>H-2</u>) must be based on Section 2 – Scope of Work.

 Any recommended alternatives or changes to the SOW belong in <u>Submittal Form F – Scope Alternatives</u>.

 <u>Submittal Form H-3</u> is a total "blank slate" to describe your recommendation to optimize the project.





Procurement Assistance from Simplar

- Group of researchers and educators
- Integrated with all parties (owners & proposers)
- Developed tools to enhance:
 - Organizational Efficiency
 - Accountability
 - Organizational Transformation
 - Procurement & Sourcing
 - Project & Risk Management
 - Performance Measurements





Procurement Assistance from Simplar

Support a Procurement Process that is:

- Fair
- Open
- Transparent

Position the College as a Client of Choice.









SIMPLAR



V	US Air Force Logistics Command		н
0	US Coast Guard		D
-	US Embassy (Botswana)	100	
ici.	US Army Corps of Engineers	~	St
	Federal Aviation Administration		St
IBM	IBM	۲	Id
٠	Brunsfield		St
2	Qwest	4	A
linwent	Honeywell	NITED	U
-	City of Peoria, AZ	Tir	Ea
袋	University of Idaho	0	A
0	University of Hawaii	•	R
0	University of New Mexico	2	Fa
	Entergy		ld
odexo	Sodexo	0	0
PC		MARSON	Pe
D	Dallas Independent School Dist.		St
0	NIN 10 / 100 C 5/2004		Id
•	Olmstead County, MN	۲	C
	City of Roseville, MN	1 _C	Le
	Hennepin County, MN	6	H
CENTER"	Scenter		Tr
SOLAR	Abengoa Solar	57	B
9	City of Sitka, Alaska	0	C
	US Solar	-	Pl
reu S	Rochester Public Utilities	-	In





PARTNERS

Submittal Forms

Submittal Forms

MS Word Document is Posted

Please use these forms.

• Additional materials will <u>not</u> be considered

Submittal Form A	 Proposer Information
Submittal Form B	 Project Organization Chart
Submittal Form C-1	- Experience (Partner Companies)
Submittal Form C-2	- Experience (Critical Project Team Members)
Submittal Form D-1	 Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)
Submittal Form D-2	 Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)
Submittal Form E	- Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems
Submittal Form F	- Scope Alternatives
Submittal Form G	- Assumptions
Submittal Form H-1	 Financial Proposal (Option 1 = 30 Year Term)
Submittal Form H-2	- Financial Proposal (Option 2 = Term Recommended by Proposer)
Submittal Form H-3	- Financial Proposal (Option 3 = Proposer Created Solution)





Evaluation Criteria and Weights

SIMPLAR

Submittal Form	Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Weight
A	Proposer Information	Pass / Fail
В	Project Organization Chart	Pass / Fail
С	Experience	10
D	Approach & Methodology	15
E	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	10
F	Scope Alternatives	10
G	Assumptions	Pass / Fail
Н	Financial Proposal	15
	Interviews	30
	Presentation & Interview for Financial Model	10
	Total Points:	100



Evaluation Criteria and Weights

Submittal Form	EvaluationThese Determine the Short-List!CriteriaSee separate videos for detailed examples.	Evaluation Weight
A	Proposer Information	Pass / Fail
В	Project Organization Chart	Pass / Fail
С	Experience	10
D	Approach & Methodology	15
E	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	10
F	Scope Alternatives	10
G	Assumptions	Pass / Fail
Н	Financial Proposal	15
	Interviews	30
	Presentation & Interview for Financial Model	10
	Total Points:	100



These Determine the Short-List! See separate videos for detailed examples.

SIMPLAR

Format Requirements

Submittal Form	Evaluation Criteria	Anonymous	Page Limits
A	Proposer Information	No	Use Form
В	Project Organization Chart	No	1 page
C-1	Experience (Partner Companies)	No	10 pages
C-2	Experience (Critical Project Team Members)	No	2pg each
D-1	Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)	YES	2 pages +1pg schedule
D-2	Approach & Methodology (Financial)	No	3 pages
E	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	YES	2 pages
F	Scope Alternatives	YES	2 pages
G	Assumptions	No	None
H-1	Financial Proposal (Option 1 = 30 Year Term)	No	Use Form
H-2	Financial Proposal (Option 2 = Term Recommended by Proposer)	No	Use Form
H-3	Financial Proposal (Option 3 = Proposer Created Solution)	No	3 pages



• Please use the Form

SIMPLAR

SUDMITI		ormation			
Proposer	Information	listed below. You may list the same indi-	vidual for multiple roles if they		
Project Name: Design-Build-Fina	ance for Student Housing Project	Name & Title	Firm		
Proposer Information (Prime) Company that will hold the Prime relationship with the	College.	I)			
Prime Proposer Legal Name: Number of Years in Business:		l)			e requirements of such
	tipal In-Charge the Lead Executive / Principal In-Charge responsible for hority to represent and make legally binding commitments	al) Id		indum may subject the Prop tial and date to confirm that yo	
on behalf of the partner companies.	nonky to represent and make reguly binding communents	1)		Number In	nitial & Date
Title					
Email					
Telephone		as the day-to-day project lead respo			
Contact Information for Lead Submittal Manager Individual that can be contacted for clarification on this	submittal:	ngle point of contact. Responsible for the nd construction. Not the Lead Executive cution-level efforts). Does not serve as	e (unless will dedicate day-to-		
Name		ad: day-to-day lead from the Developer.		bligate the Prop	oser.
Title		rategy, including but not limited to the res			
Email		cial terms, identify financing sources, ar			
Phone		ine the best legal structure for own	ership under Public Private		
	er companies of their Design-Build-Finance team. Rows I and may be adjusted based at the Proposer's discretion. Firm or Firms	day-to-day lead from the Architect. Respect, including oversight of engineering cor ogramming, schematic design, design d sible for production of technical docur	nsultants. Intimately involved levelopment and construction ments throughout the design		
Developer / Financial Company (Firm)		on through construction administration. -day time as the lead Project Architect)	. Not a Principal of the firm		
Architect (Firm)		iction Lead: day-to-day lead from the origination of the onset of design through	the warranty phase. Active		
General Contractor (Firm)		ases to provide pre-construction experti-	se.		
Design/Engineering Subconsultants (Firm or Firms) As Applicable / As Determined		key personnel (individuals) to this Cont on of the project. The Proposer shall gu			
Construction Sub Trades (Firm or Firms) As Applicable / As Determined		These individuals <u>cannot</u> be removed and approved by the College (with the	or replaced from the Contract		
Others (Firm of Firms) As Applicable / As Determined		s/death, or resignation).			
87					

SUBMITTAL FORM A

Proposer Information

• Please use the Form

Project Name: Design-Build-Finance for Student Housing Project

Proposer Information (Prime) Company that will hold the Prime relationship with the College.

> Prime Proposer Legal Name: Number of Years in Business:

Contact Information for Lead Executive / Principal In-Charge

Individual that will serve as the Escalation Point and is the Lead Executive / Principal In-Charge responsible for project execution at the company level with ultimate authority to represent and make legally binding commitments on behalf of the partner companies.

Name	
Title	
Email	
elephone	

Contact Information for Lead Submittal Manager

T

Individual that can be contacted for clarification on this submittal:

Name	c
Name Title	
Email	
Phone	





• Please use the Form

• List the Partner Companies Partner Companies

The Proposer is responsible for identifying the partner companies of their Design-Build-Finance team. Rows marked by "As Applicable / As Determined" are optional and may be adjusted based at the Proposer's discretion.

Partner Companies	Firm or Firms
Developer / Financial Company (Firm)	
Architect (Firm)	
General Contractor (Firm)	
Design/Engineering Subconsultants (Firm or Firms) As Applicable / As Determined	
Construction Sub Trades (Firm or Firms) As Applicable / As Determined	
Others (Firm of Firms) As Applicable / As Determined	





Critical Project Team Member Information

Please identify the critical team members listed below. You may list the same individual for multiple roles if they will be performing those roles.

Role	Name & Title	Firm
Project Manager (Individual)		
Developer's Financial Lead (Individual)		
Architect's Design Lead (Individual)		
Contractor's Pre-Construction Lead (Individual)		

The Proposer is responsible for assigning key personnel (individuals) to this Contract. **These individuals will be specifically dedicated to the execution of the project**. The Proposer shall guarantee that these individuals will stay in these roles during the project. These individuals <u>cannot</u> be removed or replaced from the Contract during this time period, unless requested and approved by the College (with the exception of uncontrollable events such as: retirement, injury/sickness/death, or resignation).

• Please use the Form

 List the Critical Project Team Members

MPLAR

Definitions of these roles are:

- Project Manager: serves as the day-to-day project lead responsible for management and execution. Serves as the single point of contact. Responsible for the overall success of the project including finance, design, and construction. Not the Lead Executive (unless will dedicate day-today time as the lead of execution-level efforts). Does not serve as an Escalation Point.
- Developer's Financial Lead: day-to-day lead from the Developer. Responsible to oversee the investment and financing strategy, including but not limited to the responsibility to prove feasibility, identify the preferred financial terms, identify financing sources, and work with the College and legal counsels to determine the best legal structure for ownership under Public Private Partnership guidelines.
- Architect's Design Lead: day-to-day lead from the Architect. Responsible to coordinate and lead
 design efforts for the project, including oversight of engineering consultants. Intimately involved
 with the project through programming, schematic design, design development and construction
 document phases. Responsible for production of technical documents throughout the design
 process and communication through construction administration. Not a Principal of the firm
 (unless will dedicate day-to-day time as the lead Project Architect)
- Contractor's Pre-Construction Lead: day-to-day lead from the General Contractor during design. Involved with the project from the onset of design through the warranty phase. Active participant in the design phases to provide pre-construction expertise.



• Please use the Form

- Titles may not be exact.
- Intent: dayto-day project involvement, not sales/exec

Submittal Form B – Project Organization Chart

1pg max

- Show contractual and managerial relationships between Partner Companies.
- Show the 4 Critical Project Team Members from Submittal Form A.
- Include other Key Individuals as applicable

SUBMITTAL FORM B

Project Organization Chart

<u>Instructions</u>: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. Proposers must provide an organization chart that shows contractual and managerial relationships between Partner Companies, Critical Project Team Members, and other key individuals. 1 page max./



Submittal Form C-1 – Experience (Partner Companies)

• 10pg max

 All Partner Companies can be included to show:

• Similar Projects

Outcomes & Successes

SUBMITTAL FORM C-1

Experience (Partner Companies)

Instructions: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. Proposers are allowed to use graphics, images, etc. to demonstrate their experience. Submittal Form C-1 is NOT required to be anonymous. The only requirement is a 10 page maximum limit.





Submittal Form C-2 – Experience (Critical Project Team Members)

• 2pg each x 4 Members

- Brief narrative of project roles & responsibilities.
- Anticipated time dedicated to project.
- Brief resume.

SUBMITTAL FORM C-2

Experience (Critical Project Team Members)

<u>Instructions</u>: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. Proposers are asked to provide a brief resume and description of roles & responsibilities for each Critical Project Team Member identified in Submittal Form A. Submittal Form C-2 is NOT required to be anonymous. The only requirement is a 2 page maximum limit for each Critical Project Team Member.

Insert Critical Project Team Member Role	Insert Individual's Name
Anticipated Time Allocation to the Project	Insert Description

Brief Narrative of Roles and Responsibilities: Insert (add space as needed)

Resume Insert (add space as needed)





Submittals D-1, D-2, E, F

35% of Total Evaluation. 59% of Shortlist Evaluation.

Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)	Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	Scope Alternatives
Overall approach to deliver the SOW activities, phases, quality, sequencing, deliverables	Structure of proposed Financial Model describe main components & why model is beneficial	Potential Impacts & Complexities proposed solution(s) potential impact(s)	Innovative Ideas & Unique Qualifications scope, budget, schedule, unique differentiators
2pg max. +1pg schedule Anonymous	3pg max. Non-Blind	2pg max. Anonymous	2pg max. Anonymous
SIMPLAR			

Submittal Form D-1 – Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)

- 2pg max + 1pg design/con schedule
- MUST BE anonymous
- Open Template

• Overall approach, main activities, major SOW considerations, etc.

SUBMITTAL FORM D-1

Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)

Instructions: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. This Submittal Form must be anonymous and can NOT contain any names that can be used to identify the Proposer, must NOT identify the Financial Proposal, and must NOT exceed the maximum 2 page limit + 1 page schedule.





Submittal Form D-2 – Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)

- 3pg max
- <u>NOT</u> required to be anonymous
- Open Template

Describe the recommended financial model(s) for Submittal Forms H-1 & H-2, including major elements & variables, known quantities, and explain how the approach is beneficial for the College Instructions: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. Please describe the Financial Model(s) that correspond with Submittal Forms H-1 and H-2. This Submittal Form is NOT anonymous and must NOT exceed the maximum 3 page limit.

Submittal Form E – Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems

- 2pg max
- MUST BE anonymous
- Please use the template
 - Copy/paste to include as many (or as few) individual CRISPs within the page limit

 Most challenging aspects that will be encountered + your team's recommended solution(s)

SUBMITTAL FORM E

CONCERNS, RISKS, INTUITIONS, SUSPICIONS, PROBLEMS

<u>Instructions</u>: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. This Submittal Form must be anonymous and can NOT contain any names that can be used to identify the Proposer, must NOT identify the Financial Proposal, and must NOT exceed the maximum 2 page limit

CRISP 1:	
Description:	
Strategy:	
CRISP 2:	
Description:	
Strategy:	
CRISP 3:	
Description:	
Strategy:	



Submittal Form F – Scope Alternatives

- 2pg max
- MUST BE anonymous
- Please use the template
 - Copy/paste to include as many (or as few) individual Innovative Ideas within the page limit
- Scope Alternatives, including opportunities, innovative ideas, and offerings that are above-and-beyond the SOW.

SUBMITTAL FORM F	
Scope Alternatives	

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS: This Submittal Form must be anonymous and can NOT contain any names that can be used to identify the Proposer, must NOT identify the cost/fee, and must NOT exceed the maximum page limit (see RFP Section 3.4).

Idea 1:		
Benefits:		
Cost Impact:	Schedule Impact:	
Idea 2:		
Benefits:		1
Cost Impact:	Schedule Impact:	
Idea 3:		
Benefits:		
Cost Impact:	Schedule Impact:	





Scenario #1: Scope is Above Budget

Client's Scope

(Financial Proposal H-1 & H-2 for this!)

(-\$ Alternatives)

Client's Budget (\$\$)




Scenario #2: Intent Doesn't Match Scope

Client's Budget (\$\$) Client's Needs



Client's Scope

(Financial Proposal H-1 & H-2 for this!)





Submittal Form G – Assumptions

- Not scored.
- Be honest.
- No surprises.

SUBMITTAL FORM G Assumptions

Instructions: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. This Submittal Form does not have a maximum page limit. Proposers may add space as needed. Content must be project-specific and must not be comprised of boilerplate documents.

Financial:	
Design:	
Construction:	
Other:	





Submittal Form H-1, H-2, H-3 – Financial Proposal

• This Submittal Forms are not committed financing plans (i.e. does not represent a hard or firm proposal); rather, they are indicative Financial Proposals. KCKCC recognizes that aspects of the Financial Proposals may evolve as the project progresses through pre-development.





Critical!

• All Financial Proposals (<u>Submittal Form H-1</u> and <u>H-2</u>) must be based on Section 2 – Scope of Work.

 Any recommended alternatives or changes to the SOW belong in <u>Submittal Form F – Scope Alternatives</u>.

 <u>Submittal Form H-3</u> is a total "blank slate" to describe your recommendation to optimize the project.





Submittal Form H-1 – Financial Proposal **SUBMITTAL FORM H-1 30 Year Lease**

Required to deliver the requirements in Section 2 – Scope of Work

Financial Proposal Option 1 = 30 Year Term

Requirements:

- · Proposer must accommodate the Student Housing Building as described in Section 2 Statement of Work, which includes 250 beds with room configurations as described.
- Ownership of the facility transfer to KCKCC upon conclusion of the lease.
- Please use this form and do not add additional information.

Term:

Please complete this submittal based on the following lease term	30 years
------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

Proposed Investment:

Total Minimum Guaranteed Financial Investment	\$ Lump Sum
Total Initial Construction Value of the Investment (excludes all costs and fees associated with design, development, or any other costs that are not directly applicable to the construction)	\$ Lump Sum

Anticipated Facility Parameters

Total Square Footage (all floors and program)	# SF
Total Square Footage for Living Space (includes all space behind a Living Unit entry door incl. bedroom, kitchen, living space, bathroom, etc. and does not include common spaces, hallways, etc.)	% of Total SF

Proposed Annual Lease Rate:

Year	Lease Rate	Year	Lease Rate	Year	Lease Rate
1	\$	11	\$	21	\$
2	\$	12	\$	21 22	\$
3	\$	13	\$	23	\$
4	\$	14	\$	14	\$
5	\$	15	\$	25	\$
6	\$	16	\$	26	\$
7	\$	17	\$	27	\$
8	\$	18	\$	28	\$
9	\$	19	\$	29	\$
10	\$	20	\$	30	\$





Submittal Form H-2 – Financial Proposal Term Recommended by Proposer

 Required to deliver the requirements in Section 2 – Scope of Work

SUBMITTAL FORM H-2

Financial Proposal Option 2 = Term Recommended by Proposer

Requirements:

- Proposer must accommodate the Student Housing Building as described in Section 2 Statement of Work, which includes 250 beds with room configurations as described.
- · Ownership of the facility transfer to KCKCC upon conclusion of the lease.
- Please use this form and do not add additional information

Term:

The Proposer recommends the following lease term	# years

Proposed Investment:

Total Minimum Guaranteed Financial Investment	\$ Lump Sum
Total Initial Construction Value of the Investment (excludes all costs and fees associated with design, development, or any other costs that are not directly applicable to the construction)	\$ Lump Sum

Anticipated Facility Parameters:

Total Square Footage (all floors and program)	# SF
Total Square Footage for Living Space (includes all space behind a Living Unit entry door incl. bedroom, kitchen, living space, bathroom, etc. and does not include common spaces, hallways, etc.)	% of Total SF

Proposed Annual Lease Rate:

Please adjust the table based on the number of years for the lease term recommended by the Proposer

Year	Lease Rate	Year	Lease Rate	Year	Lease Rate
1	\$	11	\$	21	\$
2	\$	12	\$	22	\$
3	\$	13	\$	23	\$
4	\$	14	\$	14	\$
5	\$	15	\$	25	\$
6	\$	16	\$	26	\$
7	\$	17	\$	27	\$
8	\$	18	\$	28	\$
9	\$	19	\$	29	\$
10	\$	20	\$	30	\$





Submittal Form H-3 – Financial Proposal **Proposer Created Solution** SUBMITTAL FORM H-3 **Financial Proposal**

- 3pg max.
- The Proposer creates an optimal solution which may address any number of approaches and aspects of the project, including but not limited to the...
 - financial approach (term, lease rates, etc.),
 - scope of work (number of beds, programming, design, etc.),
 - potential construction phasing for potential expansion of an optimally-sized initial facility,
 - and other elements as applicable

Option 3 = Proposer Created Solution

Requirements:

- No requirements for length of term nor statement of work.
- Must complete the table information below. Cannot exceed 3 pages maximum
- This Submittal is optional and is not evaluated.

Narrative of Optimal Financial Model:

Add space as needed

Optimal Term

The Proposer recommends the following lease term as being optimal	# years
-------------------------------------------------------------------	---------

Optimal Investment:

Total Minimum Guaranteed Financial Investment	\$ Lump Sum
Total Initial Construction Value of the Investment (excludes all costs and fees associated with design, development, or any other costs that are not directly applicable to the construction)	\$ Lump Sum

Optimal Facility Parameters

Minimum Guaranteed Number of Beds	# beds
Total Square Footage (all floors and program)	# SF
Total Square Footage for Living Space (includes all space behind a Living Unit entry door incl. bedroom, kitchen, living space, bathroom, etc. and does not include common spaces, hallways, etc.)	% of Total SF

Optimal Annual Lease Rate:

Please adjust the table based on the number of years for the lease term recommended by the Proposer

Year	Lease Rate	Year	Lease Rate	Year	Lease Rate
1	\$	11	\$	21	\$
2	\$	12	\$	22 23	\$
3	\$	13	\$	23	\$
4	\$	14	\$	14	\$
5	\$	15	\$	25	\$
6	\$	16	\$	26	\$
7	\$	17	\$	27	\$
8	\$	18	\$	28	\$
9	\$	19	\$	29	\$
10	\$	20	\$	30	\$



Evaluation Criteria and Weights

Submittal Form	Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Weight
A	Proposer Information	Pass / Fail
В	Project Organization Chart	Pass / Fail
С	Experience	10
D	Approach & Methodology	15
E	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	10
F	Scope Alternatives	10
G	Assumptions	Pass / Fail
Н	Financial Proposal	15
	Interviews	30
	Presentation & Interview for Financial Model	10
	Total Points:	100



SIMPLAR

Interviews (30%)

client will conduct interviews with the Critical Project Team Members from each of the Shortlisted Proposers. These individuals must be the same individuals that are identified in Submittal Form A:

- Project Manager
- Developer's Financial Lead
- Architect's Design Lead
- Contractor's Pre-Construction Lead





Interview Format (30%)

- The client will actually "interview" each individual. This is not a "presentation".
- No other individual from the proposer's organization may attend
- Individuals will be interviewed separately not as a team
- The individuals cannot bring any handouts nor marketing materials

- Interview times will be approximately 20-30 minutes per individual
- A standard set of questions will be asked to each individual. The client has the option to clarify any responses.
- Questions will be non-technical
- Evaluators will rate/score the interviews comparatively to one another on a 1-10 scale



Interview Questions (30%)

- **1.** Personal Experience
- 2. Approach & Methodology
- 3. Major Milestones & Deliverables
- 4. Risks & Recommendations
- 5. Opportunities & Innovation
- 6. Assumptions
- 7. Other questions about the SOW







Hint: Common Reasons For Poor Scores

- 1. You do not have a lot of experience
- 2. You sound just like your competitors
- 3. You have not had time to think about this project
 - Haven't read the SOW
 - Haven't read the Proposal
 - Haven't thought about how the client/project is different from other projects in the past

4. You are not confident, honest, passionate, and/or have humility





Presentation & Interview for Financial Model (10%)

- The College will hold a Presentation & Interview with the top 2-3 Proposers will be invited.
 - Presentation: Opportunity to provide short presentation on Financial Approach
 - Not a marketing presentation.
 - Truly a walk-through to explain the details of your Financial Approach.
 - Interview: Opportunity for the College to ask questions
- The intent is to review the overall strength of the Financial Model(s) and conduct a detailed review of what is included.





Tips for Preparing a Competitive Submittal

Tip For Preparing your Proposal

- 1. Identify the Critical Project Team Members (and other key individuals) who have the greatest expertise for this specific project.
- 2. Have your team lay out the approach & methodology... how would they would execute it based upon the scope?
- 3. Identify what risks they see are involved within the plan (including scope clarity & assumptions)
- 4. If it was <u>your</u> project, what would you change to provide the best outcomes/performance/value/etc. for the students?
- 5. Then, write the response using the Submittal Forms.



Proposal development tips: what to ask yourself when reviewing your proposal...

- Does this truly differentiate my submission? Or can my competitors say the nearly same thing?
- Is my submission project-specific? (does it pass the "copy-andpaste" test?)
- Have I considered the potential impacts? Based upon experience, what is the best case / worst case / most likely case?
- Am I explaining my recommendations & qualifications rather than overly selling & marketing?



Thank you for your participation!

Please refer to the separate recordings for detailed examples of Submittal Forms C, D, E, and F





Submittal Form C

C-1 – Experience (Partner Companies) C-2 – Experience (Critical Project Team Members) and **Submittal Form D** D-1 – Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction) D-2 – Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)



Evaluation Criteria and Weights

SIMPLAR

Submittal Form	Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Weight
A	Proposer Information	Pass / Fail
В	Project Organization Chart	Pass / Fail
С	Experience	10
D	Approach & Methodology	15
E	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	10
F	Scope Alternatives	10
G	Assumptions	Pass / Fail
Н	Financial Proposal	15
	Interviews	30
	Presentation & Interview for Financial Model	10
	Total Points:	100



Evaluation Criteria and Weights

Submittal Form	EvaluationThese Determine the Short-List!CriteriaSee separate videos for detailed examples.	Evaluation Weight
A	Proposer Information	Pass / Fail
В	Project Organization Chart	Pass / Fail
С	Experience	10
D	Approach & Methodology	15
E	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	10
F	Scope Alternatives	10
G	Assumptions	Pass / Fail
Н	Financial Proposal	15
	Interviews	30
	Presentation & Interview for Financial Model	10
	Total Points:	100



Submittal Form C C-1 – Experience (Partner Companies) C-2 – Experience (Critical Project Team Members)

Submittal Form C-1 – Experience (Partner Companies) Submittal Form C-2 – Experience (Critical Project Team Members)

- 10pg max
- All Partner Companies can be included to show Similar Projects + Outcomes & Successes

SUBMITTAL FORM C-1

Experience (Partner Companies)

Instructions: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. Proposers are allowed to use graphics, images, etc. to demonstrate their experience. Submittal Form C-1 is NOT required to be anonymous. The only requirement is a 10 page maximum limit.

- 2pg each x 4 Members
- Brief narrative of project roles & responsibilities, anticipated time dedicated to project, brief resume.

SUBMIT	TAL FORM C-2
Experience (cr	ritical Project Team Members)
roles & responsibilities for each Critical Project Team	ts. Proposers are asked to provide a brief resume and description of Member identified in Submittal Form A. Submittal Form C-2 is NOT a 2 page maximum limit for each Critical Project Team Member.
Insert Critical Project Team Member Role	Insert Individual's Name
Anticipated Time Allocation to the Project	Insert Description
Resume Insert (add space as needed)	





Example



 Perceived Client Concern: An inexperienced Project Manager & Project Team may cause budget overruns, schedule slippage, and may fail to deliver a project that meets your future needs.

• Proposer:

We are assigning our best Project Manager & Project Team that has an extensive amount of experience. We will use our proven internal quality control process to ensure that the plan is delivered effectively and on-time.



Example: Experience



Using Metrics to Showcase Results/Outcomes

- To the extent that carbon-intensive grid power can be replaced by natural gas-fired cogenerated power, CO2e emissions will be reduced by over 40%.
- For one similar client, we identified and fully implemented a natural gas cogeneration system which provides 70% of the total facility complex power needs while reducing total CO2e emissions by 40%. This was accomplished while producing a 20% return on the additional investment in cogeneration.





Example: Experience

Using Metrics to Showcase Results/Outcomes

- <u>Construction Team Experience</u>
 - The proposed Project Manager and Site Superintendent have put in place \$87.4M in student housing projects in the past five years.
 - The team's schedule deviation is (-1.5%), their contractor generated change order rate is 0%, and their overall customer satisfaction rating is 98%.
 - We have selected a controls subcontractor who surveyed 8 past clients on completed scopes worth \$750,000 (similar in size to his project) and received a customer satisfaction rating of 10 out of 10.







Don't be limited to generic experience information

Instead Focus on results & outcomes delivered for the Client

Submittal Form D

D-1 – Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction) D-2 – Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)

Submittals D-1, D-2, E, F

Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)	Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	Scope Alternatives
Overall approach to deliver the SOW	Structure of proposed Financial Model	Potential Impacts & Complexities	Innovative Ideas & Unique Qualifications
activities, phases, quality, sequencing, deliverables	describe main components & why model is beneficial	proposed solution(s) potential impact(s)	scope, budget, schedule
2pg max. +1pg schedule	3pg max.	2pg max.	unique differentiators 2pg max.
Anonymous	Non-Blind	Anonymous	Anonymous





Submittals D-1, D-2, E, F

Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)	Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	Scope Alternatives
Overall approach to deliver the SOW	Structure of proposed Financial Model	Potential Impacts & Complexities	Innovative Ideas & Unique Qualifications
activities, phases, quality, sequencing, deliverables	describe main components & why model is beneficial	proposed solution(s) potential impact(s)	scope, budget, schedulo
2pg max. +1pg schedule	3pg max.	2pg max.	unique differentiators 2pg max.
Anonymous	Non-Blind	Anonymous	Anonymous





Submittal Form D-1 – Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)

- 2pg max + 1pg design/con schedule
- MUST BE anonymous
- Open Template

• Overall approach, main activities, major SOW considerations, etc.

SUBMITTAL FORM D-1

Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)

Instructions: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. This Submittal Form must be anonymous and can NOT contain any names that can be used to identify the Proposer, must NOT identify the Financial Proposal, and must NOT exceed the maximum 2 page limit + 1 page schedule.





Approach & Methodology: REASONS FOR POOR EVALUATION SCORES

• Generic / Boilerplate

• Not tailored to client's specific SOW, requirements, constraints

Does not provide a clear view of the proposed schedule

• Disorganized, hard to follow, typos, grammatical errors





Hint Think Like An Evaluator

Who would you rather work with?

Activity: Who Should We Select?

SIMPLAR



#1	Safeguarding the health and safety of our people and customers is our moral responsibility and essential to the success of our business. We have worked hard to establish a safety culture where our people instinctively take individual and collective responsibility for their own safety and that of those around them, and act accordingly
#2	From the food we serve, the places where we work, and to our day-to-day operations, we make sure safety is an "every-moment-every-day" mindset for our employees. We work hard to create a safety culture where all employees can stop any situation in which they feel unsafe.
#3	Health and safety is a global strategic priority for our Firm. We are committed to a global health and safety culture and world class health and safety performance. We know that integrating health and safety into everything we do minimizes risk to people and property.





Don't Just State the Basics

Instead

Be sure to Differentiate Yourself Focus on Project-Specific Approaches

Example: Approach & Methodology



Approach to a Complex Aspect of the Project

• Noise from demolitions may result in student/staff complaints (since we will be doing demo in an in-operation library during finals week).

Proposer 1

Partnering is a key to success on any project. We will work with the user to develop the best strategies that can be implemented to minimize the impact of noise from demolition.

Proposer 2

MPLAR

To ensure the client's satisfaction, we have planned to demolition during off hours and weekends. We will also install rubber sheets on the floors and foam pads around the wall to diminish noise and vibrations.





Don't Transfer Responsibility Back to the Client

Instead

Showcase that You Have Processes to do it the Right Way & you have thought about the Project
Example: Approach & Methodology Approach to a Complex Aspect of the Project



Proposer 1:

- Our team understands the complexities involved with expanding the capacity of an existing power plant.
- Our highly qualified team will employ a thoughtful approach that considers a wide range of design efficiencies to optimize the function, capacity, and layout of the future facility.
- We have a proven track record of using creative strategies in construction to maintain continued operation of the legacy facility.





Example: Approach & Methodology Approach to a Complex Aspect of the Project



Proposer 1:

- Our team <u>understands</u> the complexities involved with expanding the capacity of an existing power plant.
- Our <u>highly qualified</u> team will employ a <u>thoughtful</u> approach that considers a <u>wide range</u> of design efficiencies to <u>optimize</u> the function, capacity, and layout of the future facility.
- We have a proven track record of using creative strategies in construction to maintain continued operation of the legacy facility.





Example: Approach & Methodology Approach to a Complex Aspect of the Project



Proposer 2: We will consider two main approaches:

Approach A – Focus design attention on main & second levels.

- Open the main & 2nd floor facades for greatest impact to animate N laneway.
- Reinforce the existing loading dock access points.

MPLAR

• Leave the upper level punch windows intact as it will provide adequate access for prescribed operational uses. Redevelopment of the upper levels with larger expanses/openings is costly for the minimal functional benefit.

Approach B – Provide a corridor addition along the entire face of façade (must be coupled with relocation of elevator cores as identified in CRISP 1)

- Provides energy efficiency and more efficient room configurations
- The addition can connect levels 5 and 6 to stair 4 in the East and West wings, eliminating the need to extend stair cores and construct roof additions



Hint

Remove Fluffy Boilerplate Content

Instead Focus on Project-Specific Information, Processes, and Action Steps

Example: Approach & Methodology

Approach to a Critical Aspect of the Project:

A poor roofing system can result in roof leaks, which may inconvenience building occupants, and increase complaints, maintenance, damage, etc.

Proposer 1:

• Use our extensive roofing history to install the best system for your needs.

Proposer 2:

• To minimize this risk, our proposed roofing system has been installed on over 400 roofs and has had an average roof age of 18 years, in which 99% of the roofs don't leak and 100% of the end clients are satisfied.

Proposer 3:

To minimize this risk, we are proposing a thermally-welded roofing system that has a tensile strength of 2,130 PSI, elongation of 300%, tear strength of 312lbs, has been tested for 10,000hrs, and has a cold brittleness of -30°C.







Technical Info

- Thermally-welded roofing system
- Tensile strength of 2,130 PSI
- Elongation of 300%
- Tear strength of 312lbs
- Tested for 10,000hrs





Hint

Stay Away from Technical Jargon & Don't Focus on "Input" language

Instead

Use Dominant Performance Info & Focus on Results/Outcomes

Submittals D-1, D-2, E, F

Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)	Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	Scope Alternatives
Overall approach to deliver the SOW	Structure of proposed Financial Model	Potential Impacts & Complexities	Innovative Ideas & Unique Qualifications
activities, phases, quality, sequencing, deliverables	describe main components & why model is beneficial	proposed solution(s) potential impact(s)	scope, budget, schedule
2pg max. +1pg schedule	3pg max.	2pg max.	unique differentiators 2pg max.
	Non-Blind	Anonymous	
Anonymous		Anonymous	Anonymous





Submittal Form D-2 – Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)

- 3pg max
- <u>NOT</u> required to be anonymous
- Open Template

Describe the recommended financial model(s) for Submittal Forms H-1 & H-2, including major elements & variables, known quantities, and explain how the approach is beneficial for the College Instructions: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. Please describe the Financial Model(s) that correspond with Submittal Forms H-1 and H-2. This Submittal Form is NOT anonymous and must NOT exceed the maximum 3 page limit.

Thank you for your participation!





Submittal Form E – CRISPS

(Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems)

and

Submittal Form F – Scope Alternatives



Evaluation Criteria and Weights

SIMPLAR

Submittal Form	Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Weight
A	Proposer Information	Pass / Fail
В	Project Organization Chart	Pass / Fail
С	Experience	10
D	Approach & Methodology	15
E	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	10
F	Scope Alternatives	10
G	Assumptions	Pass / Fail
Н	Financial Proposal	15
	Interviews	30
	Presentation & Interview for Financial Model	10
	Total Points:	100



Submittals D-1, D-2, E, F

Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)	Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	Scope Alternatives
Overall approach to deliver the SOW	Structure of proposed Financial Model	Potential Impacts & Complexities	Innovative Ideas & Unique Qualifications
activities, phases, quality, sequencing, deliverables	describe main components & why model is beneficial	proposed solution(s) potential impact(s)	scope, budget, schedule
2pg max. +1pg schedule	3pg max.	2pg max.	unique differentiators 2pg max.
Anonymous	Non-Blind	Anonymous	Anonymous





Submittals D-1, D-2, E, F

Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)	Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	Scope Alternatives
Overall approach to deliver the SOW	Structure of proposed Financial Model	Potential Impacts & Complexities	Innovative Ideas & Unique Qualifications
activities, phases, quality, sequencing, deliverables	describe main components & why model is beneficial	proposed solution(s) potential impact(s)	scope, budget, schedule, unique differentiators
2pg max. +1pg schedule	3pg max.	2pg max.	2pg max.
Anonymous	Non-Blind	Anonymous	Anonymous





Submittal Form E – Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems

- 2pg max
- MUST BE anonymous
- Please use the template
 - Copy/paste to include as many (or as few) individual CRISPs within the page limit

 Most challenging aspects that will be encountered + your team's recommended solution(s)

SUBMITTAL FORM E

CONCERNS, RISKS, INTUITIONS, SUSPICIONS, PROBLEMS

<u>Instructions</u>: Refer to Section 3.2 for format requirements. This Submittal Form must be anonymous and can NOT contain any names that can be used to identify the Proposer, must NOT identify the Financial Proposal, and must NOT exceed the maximum 2 page limit

CRISP 1:	
Description:	
Strategy:	
CRISP 2:	
Description:	
Strategy:	
CRISP 3:	
Description:	
Strategy:	



Proposer Objective:

Clearly stand out from the competition!





CRISP Example

Dependency RISK:

Proposer 1

<u>CRISP</u>: The local water company must have the water turned on by June in order for us to water the newly installed recreational fields (or the grass will die).

STRATEGY: We will coordinate and plan our schedule with the water company as soon as the award is made to make sure that we get water to the site to irrigate the fields.



Proposer 2

<u>CRISP</u>: The local water company must have the water turned on by June in order for us to water the newly installed fields (or the grass will die). On past projects, the water company has failed to meet the schedule 90% of the time.

STRATEGY: To minimize this risk, we will coordinate our schedule with the water company as soon as we are awarded the project. If they fail to meet our schedule, we can connect temporary waterlines to the nearby fire hydrants, or we can also rent water trucks to irrigate the fields.





CRISP Example Concern with Schedule

Proposer 1

• Establishing a solid work plan that is embraced by our staff, along with the [Owner] will be important to offset delays

Proposer 2

• We will prepare an unbiased and realistic construction schedule the Team can achieve, and then compare that schedule to the Owner's requirements to find discrepancies and rectify them. We would review and update the schedule weekly to ensure that we stay on track and complete the project within the specified time frame. Project Team Meetings will review upcoming work that involves excessive noise and toxic applications or odors.



CRISP Example

Concern with Schedule

Proposer 3

- Compress design development to quickly produce CDs.
- Mass hoarding of bunker structure to minimize impact of winter weather.
- Project contract by Oct. 1 enables excavations to be complete before December holidays and minimizes freeze impacts.
- Construction sequencing strategies: Once main floor steel stud framing structure is complete, M&E subs can work on deck level above while additional sub-trades are working on the M&E rough-in and finishing work below. This will reduce schedule time significantly.
- Multiple Scope Alternative ideas to minimize schedule constraints, such as:
 - Alternate interior finishes critical path reduction by multiple months reduce this trade by multiple months by switching to a partition system (\$1.6M project budget impact – see Scope Alternate Idea #2 for full details)





CRISP Example



- Available water pressure will not be known until 3-4 weeks into the project schedule (due to required excavations)
- There are 3 potential outcomes upon investigation:
- 1) **Best Case**: Adequate pressure available: No change in building parameters

2) Most Likely: Inadequate water pressure: Impact to some building parameters such as size and/or quality of finishing, etc.

3) <u>Worst-case</u>: no water pressure available, require substantial underground work, tanks, etc. Significant impact to building size & finish level, resulting in a reduction of project scale.



CRISP Example Client's SOW was Unclear:

There is no indication of whether specific shielding requirements are needed The team would need to review the specific requirements with the owner.

Based on our experience, we assumed that the decay room would require shielding and have included shielding of these rooms in our pricing.
The current vault door is shown as 2500mm thick steel assembly complete with a core of borated concrete for radiation shielding purposes. Our team has conceived alternate door borated concrete for radiation shielding purposes.







Submittals D-1, D-2, E, F

Approach & Methodology (Design & Construction)	Approach & Methodology (Financial Model)	Concerns, Risks, Intuitions, Suspicions, Problems	Scope Alternatives
Overall approach to deliver the SOW	Structure of proposed Financial Model	Potential Impacts & Complexities	Innovative Ideas & Unique Qualifications
activities, phases, quality, sequencing, deliverables	describe main components & why model is beneficial	proposed solution(s) potential impact(s)	scope, budget, schedule
2pg max. +1pg schedule	3pg max.	2pg max.	unique differentiators 2pg max.
Anonymous	Non-Blind	Anonymous	Anonymous





Submittal Form F – Scope Alternatives

- 2pg max
- MUST BE anonymous
- Please use the template
 - Copy/paste to include as many (or as few) individual Innovative Ideas within the page limit
- Scope Alternatives, including opportunities, innovative ideas, and offerings that are above-and-beyond the SOW.

SUBMITTAL FORM F	
Scope Alternatives	

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS: This Submittal Form must be anonymous and can NOT contain any names that can be used to identify the Proposer, must NOT identify the cost/fee, and must NOT exceed the maximum page limit (see RFP Section 3.4).

Idea 1:		
Benefits:		
Cost Impact:	Schedule Impact:	
Idea 2:		
Benefits:		1
Cost Impact:	Schedule Impact:	
Idea 3:		
Benefits:		
Cost Impact:	Schedule Impact:	





Critical!

• All Financial Proposals (<u>Submittal Form H-1</u> and <u>H-2</u>) must be based on Section 2 – Scope of Work.

 Any recommended alternatives or changes to the SOW belong in <u>Submittal Form F – Scope Alternatives</u>.

 <u>Submittal Form H-3</u> is a total "blank slate" to describe your recommendation to optimize the project.





Scenario #1: Scope is Above Budget

Client's Scope

(Financial Proposal H-1 & H-2 for this!)

(-\$ Alternatives)

Client's Budget (\$\$)





Scenario #2: Intent Doesn't Match Scope

Client's Budget (\$\$) Client's Needs



Client's Scope

(Financial Proposal H-1 & H-2 for this!)





Example: Scope Alternatives Budget vs. SOW/Program



Will say whatever they *think* the Client wants to hear

Generic Marketing Information NOT a Plan





Example: Scope Alternative Budget vs. SOW/Program



Proposer 3

- The budget cannot accommodate program requirements.
- Options to meet the budget and deliver the required beds:
 - Removal of underground parkade (\$2,054,717 savings)
 - Reduction in certain finishes, such as wall panels vs. dry wall (\$67,000)
 - Design efficiencies: adjust net-to-gross ratios in targeted areas of building program, i.e. hallways, common spaces (\$1,686,149 net savings)





Kodiak Launch Facility



"Mishap"







Scope Alternatives Ideas

• Is There Anything You Would Do Differently?

- (If you had complete control of this project)
- (If you could change anything)







Impact of Scope Alternatives

(Demolition & Site Prep)

- The best-valued Respondent proposed an alternative procedure for removing damaged steel panels that:
 - Was cheaper than the specified process
 - Was *faster* than the specified process
 - Was safer than the specified process





















Don't just regurgitate the Scope back to the Client

Instead

Provide opportunities for Innovation, Different Approaches, Better Options



"Did you actually mean?"

Example: Air Duct System Improvements

- In lieu of using redundant dual duct air systems (shown in bridging documents), a design solution is proposed to substitute redundant variable air volume (vav) systems with reheat
- We believe the rationale for using dual duct was to eliminate the potential risk of having a wet heating system leak through the ceiling into the production areas
- With the proposed creation of an interstitial service space, the risk for leaking of the wet heating system is mitigated, servicing space is increased, control complexity is reduced, and capital cost is mitigated.
- <u>Cost (\$): (\$158,000) Savings</u>
- <u>Schedule Impact: N/A</u>



25



Scope Enhancing // Adding Value



 Reroofing this building will not stop all water leaks. The majority of the leaks are caused by cracks in the parapet walls, broken/missing glass, and poor caulking. We can repair/replace all of these issues to minimize all water leaks, for a minimal impact to time/funding.



SIMPLAR

Cost Saving Idea

- Since the University is installing overhead televisions on the third floor of the Student Rec Center, the University may want to consider deleting all of the equipment mounted televisions on the cardio equipment on that floor.
- This can result in significant savings, or we can use these savings to potentially provide 5 additional machines in lieu of the TV screens.







Idea to Enhance Quality



Alternate Interior Partitions

- Quality control of the installation of epoxy coatings is challenging and the traditional drywall method consumes precious schedule time and creates heavy dust in an area where cleanliness is a priority.
- Arcoplast is a composite wall panel that fastens directly to the steel studs, thus eliminating the need for drywall. It has a permanently finished antimicrobial gel coat formulation that inhibits mould, fungus and mildew growth. Arcoplast will be installed in all cGMP areas that are required to be clean areas.
- Arcoplast is a product that meets or exceeds cGMP spec'd guidelines pertaining to surface finishes for maximum containment facilities.
- Key features include: Impact, chemical, corrosion and water resistance.



28

Example: Scope Alternative Experience Above & Beyond the Requirement

Consultant is familiar with all top-tier solar PV OEMs, inverter and smart inverter technology providers, BESS solution providers, and microgrid control vendors. Consultant has previous experience with top-tier technology providers that would be appropriate for use at this project. Consultant has third-party assessment (including component testing) and independent engineering experience, particularly in non-mature technologies such as BESS and microgrid controllers. This will shorten the review process of EPC bids and provide SCL with an industry-wide perspective on established, mature equipment suppliers that would not add risk to the project.

Visit Relevant Comparable Sites and Interview Project

<u>Champions</u>

- The base bid includes visiting two sites during Phase II or III of the project.
- We would like to withdraw the value added option to move this into Phase 1. After meeting with the Dalhousie team, we feel the level of understanding of the project, and the appropriate project champions are already established.



Thank you for your participation!



