Developing an Effective Scope of Work for Operations & Service Contracts

Jake Smithwick, PhD, MPA, FMP, SFP

The Simplar Institute University of North Carolina at Charlotte







The UNIVERSITY of OKLAHOMA







Everybody Loves Writing a Scope of Work!



Learning Objectives

- Identify the elements of an effective SOW.
- Effectively utilize templates for developing SOWs.
- Understand how to increase vendor innovation in the bidding process.
- Contribute to risk minimization in procurement activities.





Simplar Institute

- Group of researchers and educators
- Integrated within the Organizations (clients/buyers and vendors)
- Developed tools, methods, & training to enhance:
 - Organizational Transformation
 - Procurement & Sourcing
 - Project & Risk Management
 - Operational Efficiency
 - Human Dimensions
 - Performance Measurements
 - Benchmarking & Workforce
 - Facility Management Professional Training







UNC CHARLOTTE



BYU BRIGHAM YOUNG





20+ Years | 210+ Publications | 100+ Partners 2,500+ Projects | \$15+ Billion Procured

Information Technology

Networking **Data centers** Hardware **COTS** software **ERP** systems

Help desk services eProcurement

Facility Management

maintenance custodial conveyance landscaping pest control security service building systems industrial moving waste management energy management

Health Insurance/ Medical Services

Manufacturing

Business/Municipal/ University Services

Dining Services Multi-media rights Fitness equipment Online education Document management Retirement Fund Property management **Communications systems**

Recycling **Bookstores** Laundry

Audio Visual

Construction/Design/ Engineering

Infrastructure Municipal Laboratory Education Hospital Financial Specialty

Renovation **Repair** Maintenance Roofing Demolition **Development Supply chain**

DBB **CMAR** DB IDIQ JOC Low Bid IPD

Major FM & Staffing Studies

- O&M Benchmarking (Global)
 - O&M Qualitative Analysis of Facility Practices
- Healthcare FM Benchmarking
- New Global FM Benchmarking Information System
- Return on Investment for Training (Credentials)
- Synthesis of FM Industry Best Practices
- US Roofing Industry & Workforce Demographics
- Workforce & Succession Planning in Construction



What Percent of SOWs / Specs / Reqs / CDs / RFPs are 100% Accurate?



















Expertise-Driven Project Delivery (XPD)







• Can be very challenging.

Frustrations with Scoping

- What to put in?
- What to leave out?
- How much detail?
- What details?
- Don't know what you don't know...?

Users have a hard time preparing the scope.

- Too busy
- Too detailed
- Too technical
- Too prescriptive
- Don't know where to start



ASU KU 🛪 🖓 🖓 🗛 BYU 柳



Balancing Act: Overly Prescriptive vs. Open-Ended

Scope of Work / Spec / Reqs

Perceptions of Owner SOWs

- Unclear
- Information is missing
- Overly prescriptive
- Unrealistic
- Discourages innovation
- The owner is "fishing"
- Misunderstands Needs
- Procurement is not fair

Impact

- Fewer proposals
- Low quality proposals
- Less qualified team/indivs.
- Less competitive pricing
- Less consistent pricing
- Open to interpretation
- Have to believe the vendor
- Brings risk to the Owners

KU 🕉 🛛 🐺 BYU 🏴

Scope Definition vs. Proposal Variation





An "effective" Scope of Work requires the <u>appropriate perspective</u>...



UMPIRE MECHANICS

Theory of Proper Positioning

"Angle is primary; distance is secondary;

Closer is better, up to a point."

TEXAS BASEBALL UMPIRES ASSOCIATION

TBUA

1







What is an **Effective SOW**?

Core Objective

What would an <u>Expert Vendor</u> need (or want) to know?

ALWAYS question whether the SOW....

- -Allows vendors to provide the best price?
- -Gives vendors information to plan their approach?

- -Enables vendors to minimize contingency?
- –Prevents vendors from walking away?



Scope Examples

Major Utility Group

Full Technical Specification: "Pls dig a hol"



KU 🕉 💽 🗛 BYU 🔎



University Waste Hauling SOW

5,000+ tons of waste collection across an urban university campus



KU 秋 🚺 🖬 BYU 柳

- "An adequate fleet of collection vehicles should be used and maintained by the Proponent... It is the [Owner's] expectation that collection vehicles designated for service should at a minimum...<u>be less than two years old at the start of the</u> <u>contract"</u>
- In order to support accurate measurements towards the [Owner's] sustainability goals, <u>all vehicles must be solely</u> <u>dedicated to the [Owner] and cannot be used for other sites.</u>



Major Overhaul for a Power Plant (Minimum Qualifications)

- Minimum <u>10 years experience</u>
- At least <u>10 projects</u> in procurement and execution of <u>Design</u>-Build (DB) contracts.
- Experience in working on behalf of <u>both</u> owners and DB contractors must be demonstrated.
- Minimum of <u>5 DB projects in [specific State]</u>
- Must have completed <u>1 DB project</u> working on behalf of a <u>public</u> <u>owner</u>.

KU 🔊 🖓 🚺 👬 BYU 柳

- Have completed <u>1 DB project</u> that was not new construction, but was a <u>refurbishment</u>, <u>remodel</u>, <u>or addition to an existing</u> <u>asset in a secure operating facility</u>.
- And more... certifications, credentials, etc.



Impact of Overly Prescriptive Specs on Vendor Proposals

- "tie the hands" of vendors regarding the work and manner in which it is undertaken
- Can significantly increase cost & schedule
- Removes flexibility to offer strategies & innovations for the specific environment

 Limits the maximum accountability & responsibility vendors have to perform



Impact of Open-Ended / Unclear Specs on Vendor Proposals





Number of Questions & Addenda

🔬 🚺 📲 🖓 🖓

Cost Disparity

Eventual Change Orders



SOW Critical Elements

Elements of a SOW



Request For Proposal



City of New Phoenix

Construction Services Cafeteria Renovation and Modernization

RFP Number: 760711

RFP Release Date: 05/18/2017

Scope of Work & Current Conditions

Detailed Requirements
 High Level Summary
 Goals or Objectives
 Schedule

 Requirements
 Budget Requirements
 Unique Considerations
 Current Conditions!!!

ASU KU 🕉 😡 🌆 BYU 🏴

Elements of a SOW





1) Detailed Requirements

Content:

- Definition of the "Future State" needs
- Focus on the MANDATORY Requirements
 - Requirements that MUST be met to achieve 100% satisfaction.
- Nice-to-Haves / Like-to-Haves can be described

-But not critical!



1) Detailed Requirements

Organization:

- Itemized
- Organized into major categories
- Attach (and reference) Exhibits to make this easier to follow (i.e. drawings, specs, pictures, diagrams, site plans, reference files, etc.)

[KU 🛪 🗖 🚺 🖬 BYU 柳

Do NOT need a written response for each requirement



Section 1194.21 Software Applications and Operating Systems - Detail		
	Level of Support & Supporting	
Criteria	Features	Remarks and Explanations
(a) When software is designed to		
run on a system that has a		
keyboard, product functions shall be		
executable from a keyboard where		
the function itself or the result of		
performing a function can be		
discerned textually.		
(b) Applications shall not disrupt or		
disable activated features of other		
products that are identified as		
accessibility features, where those		
features are developed and		
documented according to industry		
standards. Applications also shall		
not disrupt or disable activated		
features of any operating system		
that are identified as accessibility		
features where the application		
programming interface for those		
accessibility features has been		
documented by the manufacturer of		
the operating system and is		
available to the product developer.		
(c) A well-defined on-screen		
indication of the current focus shall		
be provided that moves among		
interactive interface elements as		
the input focus changes. The focus		
shall be programmatically exposed		
so that Assistive Technology can		
track focus and focus changes.		
(d) Sufficient information about a		
user interface element including the		
identity, operation and state of the		
element shall be available to		
Assistive Technology. When an		
image represents a program		
element, the information conveyed		
by the image must also be available		
in text.		





Elements of a SOW



2) High Level Summary

- What you are looking to procure or achieve (high level)
- Be as brief and concise as possible Aim for a few sentences to 1-2 paragraphs (max)
- It could be as simple as describing the goods or services you are looking to procure.

KU 🛪 🖓 🚺 🖬 BYU 柳

- Avoid any technical language, details, or specifics.
- A lay person with common knowledge should be able to understand what you are looking to procure.



Elements of a SOW



3) Goals or Objectives

- It is important to help the vendors understand what is the driving force & business need for having this project procured.
- Identify the major goals, expectations, objectives, or benefits of the new project or service.

KU 🛪 🖓 🖓 🗛 BYU 🖇

• 3-5 key objectives (max) and MEASURABLE



3) Goals or Objectives

Provide food & ensure customers are happy






3) Goals or Objectives

• Install a new roof on my building?







Impact of Providing Current Conditions











Elements of a SOW



Scope of Work & Current Conditions

 Detailed Requirements
 High Level Summary
 Goals or Objectives
 Schedule Requirements

4) Schedule

- Important to clearly define any schedule constraints or expectations that you have (of the good or service).
 - Is there a required date the Project/Service must begin on?
 - Date that the Project/service must be completed by?
 - Are there any special dates or times that the vendor should be aware of that could impact this contract





Elements of a SOW



Request For Proposal



City of New Phoenix

Construction Services Cafeteria Renovation and Modernization

RFP Number: 760711

RFP Release Date: 05/18/2017

Scope of Work & Current Conditions

 Detailed Requirements
 High Level Summary
 Goals or Objectives
 Schedule Requirements
 Budget Requirements

ASU KU 🕉 😡 🌆 BYU 🏴

5) Should you issue the budget?







Elements of Successful SOWs

- The Budget is the single most important SOW descriptor
- Clarifies your technical scope (what you can afford)





What's the Budget?

- Procure a high performing A/E to design a high-end IT lab
- Budget: N/A (Owner did not trust vendors to act in best interest)
- 4 firms attended the site walk, and 2 submitted proposals
- Both firms describe a facility that will be way over budget
- User selects one firm, and has them design project. Still does not trust the vendor, gives \$1M-\$5M range but not the budget
- Vendor designs project at \$4.5M.
- Client then tells the designer their budget is \$1.5M





Research Does Not Show That Providing Budgets Will Result In Higher Costs

- Analyzed 248 RFP's with Budgets
- Advertised Budget = \$222 Million
- Average Proposal = \$143 Million (36% below budget)





Budget Recommendations

- 1. If you have a budget, then provide that number – The construction budget for this Project is \$250,000
- 2. If you don't have a budget, provide anticipated spend
 - The estimated spend is \$7M in funding per year to support annual licensing & support costs

KU 秋 🚺 🖬 bYu

- 3. If you have a historic spend, provide that too:
 - Across the last 5 years, the average annual spend is \$253,500 (+/- 5%)



Concerns?

If We Provide Our Budget, Vendors Will Inflate Their Costs







What Can Throw Off This Strategy?









Only Need 1 Vendor to be Honest!







Reality: Unlikely To Inflate Their Costs









Scope / Project Requirements

Not Enough Money





Elements of a SOW



Request For Proposal



City of New Phoenix

Construction Services Cafeteria Renovation and Modernization

RFP Number: 760711

RFP Release Date: 05/18/2017

Scope of Work & Current Conditions

Detailed Requirements
 High Level Summary
 Goals or Objectives
 Schedule

 Requirements
 Budget Requirements
 Unique Considerations

6) Unique Considerations

 Potential issues, risks, concerns, or challenges with this site, location, service, etc.

• Aspects that would make it more challenging or unlike than other projects the vendors have performed.

 Anything else that could cause a potential surprise or pose a significant challenge

[KU 秋 🖓 🚺 🖬 BYU 柳



Elements of a SOW



Request For Proposal



City of New Phoenix

Construction Services Cafeteria Renovation and Modernization

RFP Number: 760711

RFP Release Date: 05/18/2017

Scope of Work & Current Conditions

Detailed Requirements
 High Level Summary
 Goals or Objectives
 Schedule

 Requirements
 Budget Requirements
 Unique Considerations
 Current Conditions!!!

ASU KU 🕉 😡 🌆 BYU 🏴

7) Current Conditions

- Easiest to document
- Most critical, but often skipped, ignored, missed





Scope of Work Template

PART #1 - BACKGROUND INFORMATION 1.) What ARE YOU LOOKING TO PROCURE? In your own words, briefly explain what the project is about in order to quickly understand what needs to be procured. Try to describe at a high any technical language/abbreviations. 2.) BUDGET? If you have a budget for this project/service/product, please identify what it is. Do You Have a Budget? S Yes N If "res", What is Your Budget? S 3.) ANTICIPATED SPEND? If you do not have a budget, do you have an anticipated amount of mo spent on this project/service/product? Anticipated Spend S	PART #3 - CURRENT CONDITIONS Preparing a "perfect" scope of work can be difficult and challenging. Providing vendors with details and information about the current conditions or environment can be essential for them in understanding what your true objectives are. This information can be used used to work. Provide a more stochard what your true objectives thrown conditions is reasonably possible jo that the vendors can understand the current environment. Understand the project/service/product currently located? •) Winter is the project/service/product currently located? •) Winter is the project/service/product currently located? •) Winter the project/service/product currently located? •) Winter is the project/service/product on unique (that vendors may not be used too)? •) Any issues/risks/concerns that you have or foresee with the future location? •) Any issues/risks/concerns that you have or foresee with the future location? •) Any issues/risks/concerns that you have or foresee with the future location? •) Is in the renewal •) Is in the renewal of this is incation unique (that vendors may not be used too)? •) Is in its a renewal •) Is in its a renewal •) Is in its in renewal •) Is in its in renewal, but its is is morthing we have done internality
Current level of service, etc.). Rating is on a 1-10: S.) RISK: How "risky" is this proce o G.) PROJECT TEAM Identify the pr any). 3.) KEY MEASUREMENTS OF SUCCESS: What are the project/service/product is a success? Identify	 even using its its service is parked to be in place (or has this been some intermality): Why is this being re-scourse? What major issues have you had with the existing/current service/groduct/system (are there any issues is
R TE	 🗛 KU 🕉 🛈 🗛 BYU 🖊



Additional Information

- Project location
- Roles, responsibilities, and involvement (of the Owner) throughout the duration of this project/service
- Alternatives or options that you would like to consider
- Any assumptions that you have made
- List future conditions, outside of this scope that the vendor should be aware of

KU 🔊 🖓 🚺 🗛 BYU 1

• List anything that is excluded from this project/service



Don't Mix other RFP Sections within the SOW!!!!





The Key to SOW Development

Issue an RFN to the Vendor **Community**

But with the RIGHT intentions...





An RFN is NOT...

- Surveying general capabilities...
- Fishing for data...

Seeing what's out there...





Questions that an RFN can Answer

- 1. What information do Vendors need in order to develop an accurate proposal?
 - with minimal contingency?
- 2. How should the scope be structured (and why)?
- 3. What can the Owner begin working on now to facilitate an efficient project once it is awarded?

KU 🛪 🗘 🖬 🗛 🗤

4. Any other specific questions...



Expertise-Driven Project Delivery (XPD)





White Paper – XPD Overview

www.simplar.com/resources

The Best-Value XPD Approach

Implementing the 'Next Practices' in Procurement

Overview

procurement and project delivery approach. Rigorously tested and refined over the past 2 decades, the XPD™ approach has been utilized on over \$6 Billion in projects and services including: design & engineering, construction, Limited Pages IT software & hardware, business services, financial As the frequency of poor performing projects or services services, facility operations, and more. As many organizations struggle to consistently attract highperforming vendors, the XPD[™] approach utilizes innovative procurement techniques to attract higher quality teams and increase the probability of awarding 100 pages long, which can results in evaluators 'skimming' your contracts to them.

Key Personnel Drive Success

have a common theme – the expertise and guality of the the entire proposal, and also provides an advantage to the individuals (the actual people) that were assigned to the project or service. These critical individuals are far more important than the name of the company that signed the Billion), and has proven to be highly successful. contract. High quality individuals are driven to be successful. The XPD[™] approach is highly focused on the expertise of the team that will be assigned to performing your project or service.

Anonymous Proposals Improving the 'fairness' of your procurement environmen

The Expertise-based Project Delivery (XPD)™ is a complete This simple technique has shown to attract more firms, increase the quality of proposal contents, and improve the competitiveness of small and disadvantaged businesses.

increases, the natural reaction is to add more and more proposal requirements on future solicitations. Owners are now asking themselves. "How do we evaluate all of this material?" In many procurements, proposals can be 50through the documents.

In the XPD[™] approach, the evaluated proposals are limited Research has found that successful projects and services to 2-5 pages. This allows the evaluators to actually read higher-performing firms. This approach has been tested on thousands of procurements of various sizes (up to \$1

Phases of the Best-Value XPD Approach



"Spend Less Money and Get More Done: A Practical Guide to Hiring Experts"

Free Webinar: September 11 @ 12pm CT



Expertise-Driven Project Delivery (XPD)





Selecting...



...Leveraging = Plan before you Sign







Damage to Rocket-Launch Structure

Is There Anything You'd Do Differently?







Kickoff Planning – Increasing Transparency (Demolition & Site Prep)

- The contractor proposed an alternative procedure for removing damaged steel panels:
 - -\$1 Million cheaper than the specified process
 - Faster than the specified process
 - -Safer than the specified process





Final Result

- Finished ahead of schedule
- O change orders or cost increases
- User saved 60% in cost compared to the ave.







Update Jan 2017









Conclusion & Takeaways





- CPE provides RFP templates, training, and certification
- Roofing, Custodial, Dining Services, and more
- Legislative policy review & procurement best practices

www.center4procurement.org



Where Simplar Can Help

- Assist in scope development
- Writing the RFP for your project or services (IT, any service, construction/design, etc.)
- Running the Procurement so you can hire the best value
- Facilitate partnering with your vendor and Risk Management

RESULTS:

- We can reduce total procurement time by 50-75%
- Better pricing, fewer change orders, minimize delays (avg. 70% reduction change orders and delays)
- Reduce your time managing your vendors by 30-60% (high performers don't need you to tell them what to do)

Doing projects the right way does more than just save time and money... it makes you happier ⁽²⁾



Final Thought

Don't just focus on the big projects

Often more savings can be found in improving the yearafter-year mid- to smaller-size projects and services





Jake.Smithwick@uncc.edu



